

Novel Aspect

Validated UPLC-MS/MS methods for determining dopamine, serotonin, and their metabolit in the striatum tissues from animal models of Parkinson's disease.

Abstract

Simultaneously determining dopamine, serotonin and their metabolites in anima striatum tissues has been a challenge as their concentrations are low, sample size is limited, and many polar endogenous compounds may interfere with their analyses. Because of its unique features of sensitivity, selectivity, and speed (3S), ultra performance liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry detection (UPLC-MS/MS) is increasingly used for the quantitation of biological compounds including small polar neurotransmitters. In this presentation, we describe UPLC-MS/ MS method validation and application to the analysis of dopamine, serotonin and their metabolites in the striatum tissues from animal models of Parkinson's disease. The striatum tissue samples were surgically removed from euthanized animals and immediately flash frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored frozen at approximately -70°C until processing. The tissue samples were homogenized in 0.1% formic acid aqueous solution in a ratio of 1:9 [weight (mg) : mL]. In the positive ion multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode for norepinephrine (NE), dopamine (DA), serotonin (5-HT), and 5-hydroxyindole-3-acetic acid (5-HIAA), the sample homogenates were filtered through a Supelco HybrideSPE plate and separated on a Restek Ultra II PFP Propyl column (1.9 mm, 50 x 2.1 mm) using mobile phases of 0.1% formic acid in water and in acetonitrile with a 4-minute gradient elution. In the negative ion MRM mode for 3,4-dihydoxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC) and homovanillic acid (HVA), the homogenates were filtered through a Whatman filter plate and separated on a Waters HSS T3 column (1.7 mm 50 x 2.1 mm) using mobile phases of 0.1% acetic acid in water and in acetonitrile in a 4-minute run time. Stable isotope labeled internal standards were used for the 6 endogenous analytes to minimize the matrix effects on their quantitation. The calibration standards were prepared in 0.1% formic acid aqueous solution with ranges from 6.00 to 1200 ng/mL for DA and 5-HT, and from 12.0 to 2400 ng/mL for NE, 5-HIAA, DOPAC, and HVA. The method has been validated using quality control samples prepared in a surrogate matrix, charcoal treated (2X) animal brain homogenates. Finally the validated method has been routinely used to analyze study samples from various animal models.

Introduction

Research in neuroscience and neurodegenerative diseases has been translational in nature, i.e., laboratory animals have been used for investigating biological processes, studying the causes of diseases, and testing new therapeutic means of treating and preventing them. The capability of producing transgenic, knockout, mutation cell lines of animal species has given researches many models linked to human diseases. As small-molecule biomarkers are commonly monitored in neurochemistry studies, reliable bioanalytical methods with high sample throughput are needed for the quantitation of the endogenous biomarkers in biological matrices. We describe our continuing efforts of developing and validating a UPLC-MS/MS method for determining dopamine, serotonin, and their metabolites in striatum tissues from animal (i.e., rat and mouse) models of Parkinson's disease¹.

Neurotransmitters have been analyzed by using liquid chromatography coupled with electrochemical detection (LC-ECD)^{2,3}, fluorescence detection (LC-FL)⁴ or lately electrospray tandem ionization mass spectrometric (ESI-MS/MS) detection⁵⁻⁶. The lack of method specificity and sensitivity of LC-ED and FC-FL methods resulted in differences in concentrations of various neurotransmitters reported in literature. The analysis time per sample injection is typically long (20–30 minutes) using the nonspecific LC-ED and LC-FL methods. We describe here a simple, specific, and sensitive UPLC-MS/MS method to quantify dopamine, serotonin and their acidic and basic metabolites in small striatum tissues.

Experimental Section

Calibration standards and quality control samples: Stock solutions of neurotransmitters in Figure 2 were prepared in ice-cold 0.1% formic acid at a concentration of 1.00 mg/mL, and the stocks were subaliquoted into tubes (0.50 mL each) and stored at -80°C. Calibration standards were prepared from the stock solutions with ice-cold 0.1% formic acid at various concentrations on the day of the analysis. Quality control samples were prepared in charcoal treated (2X) rat and mouse brain homogenates spiked with neurotransmitters at four concentration levels (LLQC, LQC, MQC, HQC). Quality control samples were subaliquoted into tubes and stored at -80°C.

Preparation of study samples: Striatum tissues were surgically removed from euthanized animals. After weighing, tissue samples were immediately flash frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored frozen at approximately -80°C until sample processing. During analysis, tissue samples were homogenized on wet ice with ice-cold 0.1% formic acid using a Kontes microgrinder at a ratio of 1:9 [mg (weight): mL]. For the analysis of rat striatum samples, aliquots of 50.0 mL of homogenates were added to a 96-well sample plate (2-mL well size), followed by 50 mL of 0.1% formic acid solution containing internal standards. The samples were further diluted with 350 mL of ice cold 0.1% formic acid (total 450 mL). Aliquots (200 mL) of the diluted samples were transferred and filtered through a Supelco HybridSPE[®] plate for the positive ion analysis (NE, DA, 5-HT, and 5-HIAA). Second portions (200 mL) of the diluted samples were filtered through a Whatman filter plate for the negative ion analysis (DOPAC and HVA). Because of limited sample size for mouse striatum tissues (6–28 mg), Whatman filter plates were used to prepare the diluted homogenates for both positive ion and negative ion modes of analysis.

Dopamine (a catecholamine), serotonin and their metabolites are known to be unstable in the presence of light, oxygen, metal ions, and low or high pH, as well as sensitive to temperature. Samples should be processed on ice quickly in 0.1% formic acid. Proper collection, processing, and storage of tissue samples are important for generating accurate results.

Triple-stage quadrupole mass spectrometer (API-4000) coupled with Waters Acquity UPLC[®] systems were used for UPLC-MS/MS analysis.

UPLC conditions (the positive ion mode):

LC System:	V
Column:	R
Column Temperature:	6
Autosampler Temperature:	4
Mobile Phase A:	0
Mobile Phase B:	0
Strong Wash:	0
Weak Wash:	0
Seal Wash:	1

UP	LC grad	lient pr	ogram	Mass spectrometric detection parameters			neters
Time	A%	B %	Flow rate	Compound	Precursor Ion	Product Ion	lonization
(min)			(mL/min)	Name	m/z	m/z	Mode
Initial	100	0	0.40	NE	152	107	Positive
0.50	100	0	0.40	DA	154	91	Positive
2.60	70	30	0.40	5-HT	177	115	Positive
2.70	10	90	1.00	5-HIAA	192	146	Positive
3.30	10	90	1.00	NE-d6	158	111	Positive
3.31	100	0	1.00	DA-d4	158	95	Positive
4.00	100	0	1.00	5-HT-d4	181	118	Positive
				5-HIAA-d5	197	150	Positive

UPLC conditions (the negative ion mode):

LC System:	V
Column:	V
Column Temperature:	6
Autosampler Temperature:	Z
Mobile Phase A:	C
Mobile Phase B:	C
Strong Wash:	C
Weak Wash:	C
Seal Wash:	1

UPLC gradient program			Mass spectrometric detection parameters				
Time	A%	B %	Flow rate	Compound	Precursor lon	Product Ion	Ionization
(min)			(mL/min)	Name	m/z	m/z	Mode
Initial	100	0	0.40	DOPAC	167	123	Negative
2.50	75	25	0.40	HVA	181	122	Negative
2.60	5	95	1.00	DOPAC-d5	172	128	Negative
3.20	5	95	1.00	HVA-d5	186	127	Negative
3.21	5	95	1.00				
3.30	100	0	1.00				
4.00	100	0	1.00				

Neurotransmitters in the Striatum Tissues from Animal Brain: UPLC-MS/MS Method Validation and **Application to Animal Models of Parkinson's Disease**

- Waters Acquity UPLC[®] System
- Restek Ultra™ PFP-Propyl 50 x 2.1 mm, 1.9 µm
- 1% Formic acid in water
- 0.1% Formic acid on acetonitrile
- 0.1% Formic acid in acetonitrile
- 0.1% Formic acid in water
- 10% Acetonitrile

- Waters Acquity UPLC[®] System
- Waters HSS T3, 50 x 2.1 mm, 1.7 μm

- 0.1% Acetic acid in water 0.1% Acetic acid on acetonitrile
- 0.1% Acetic acid in acetonitrile
- 0.1% Acetic acid in water
- 10% Acetonitrile



Figure 1. Dopamine signaling in Parkinson's disease (Source: Cell Signaling Jechno www.cellsignal.com).



Table 1. Animal models of Parkinson's disease Evaluated at three ages (4, 8, and



Figure 3. Representative UPLC-MS/MS chromatograms of mouse striatum homogenates. (Top) the homogenate sample without phospholipid removal, (Bottom) the sample extract filtered with a HybridSPE



Figure 7. Concentrations (ng/mg tissue) of neurotransmitters in mouse striatum tissues collected from animals of Parkinson's disease models at various ages.



and their acidic and basic metabolites.





tissues collected from study animals.

Changyu Quang¹, Brett D. Dunbar¹, Carina Witters-Teulings¹, Nichole R. Myers¹, William C. Nethero¹, Melissa J. Beck¹, Michael D. Schlosser¹, and Kuldip D. Dave² ¹ WIL Research Laboratories, LLC, 140⁷ George Road, Ashland, Ohio, USA²; The Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research, 498 Seventh Avenue, 18th New York, NY, USA

	6.00	12.0	60.0	120	300	600	1080	1200
Mean	6.02	11.9	59.8	119	305	604	1060	1210
%RSD	1.00	4.20	4.00	4.70	2.70	2.40	2.60	2.90
%RE	0.33	-0.83	-0.33	-0.83	1.67	0.67	-1.85	0.83
n	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
DOPAC (mg/mL)	12.0	24.0	120	240	600	1200	2160	2400
Mean	12.0	24.0	119	237	611	1210	2150	2400
%RSD	1.8	5.3	4.0	4.7	3.8	3.9	2.5	2.4
%RE	0.00	0.00	-0.83	-1.25	1.83	0.83	-0.46	0.00
n	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
HVA (ng/mL)	12.00	24.00	120.00	240.00	600.00	1200.00	2160.00	2400.00
Mean	12.0	24.1	120	239	603	1210	2120	2440
%RSD	2.0	6.0	1.9	4.2	3.4	3.0	2.5	3.0
%RE	0.00	0.42	0.00	-0.42	0.50	0.83	-1.85	1.67
n	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
5-HT (ng/mL)	6.00	12.00	60.00	120.00	300.00	600.00	1080.00	1200.00
Mean	5.99	12.2	58.7	121	304	603	1070	1200
%RSD	2.2	4.9	2.7	3.3	3.8	1.9	4.2	3.8
%RE	-0.17	1.67	-2.17	0.83	1.33	0.50	-0.93	0.00
n	11	11	11	10	11	11	11	11
5-HIAA (ng/mL)	12.00	24.00	120.00	240.00	600.00	1200.00	2160.00	2400.00
Mean	12.1	23.8	119	238	610	1210	2150	2400
%RSD	1.8	4.0	3.2	5.8	3.6	3.4	2.6	3.2
%RE	0.83	-0.83	-0.83	-0.83	1.67	0.83	-0.46	0.00
n	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
	10.0	04.0	100	040	000	1000	0100	2400
NE (ng/mL)	12.0	24.0	120	240	600	1200	2160	2400
NE (ng/mL) Mean	12.0	24.0	120	240	605	1200	2160	2400
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD	12.0 12.1 1.4	24.0 23.9 3.7	120 121 3.4	236 3.8	605 1.8	1200 1210 2.1	2160 2160 2.8	2400 3.0
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83	23.9 3.7 -0.42	120 121 3.4 0.83	236 3.8 -1.67	605 1.8 0.83	1200 1210 2.1 0.83	2160 2160 2.8 0.00	2400 3.0 0.00
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9	120 121 3.4 0.83 9	236 3.8 -1.67 9	605 1.8 0.83 9	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9	2400 3.0 0.00 9
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9	120 121 3.4 0.83 9	236 3.8 -1.67 9	605 1.8 0.83 9	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9	2400 3.0 0.00 9
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9	120 121 3.4 0.83 9	236 3.8 -1.67 9	605 1.8 0.83 9	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9	2400 3.0 0.00 9
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and <i>i</i>	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality	236 3.8 -1.67 9	605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As	2400 3.0 0.00 9
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and <i>i</i>	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality	236 3.8 -1.67 9	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As	2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay)
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and 6.00	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960	605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600	2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) 960
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and <i>b</i> 6.00 5.59	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572	2400 2400 3.0 9 ssay) 960 907
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and a 6.00 5.59 7.5	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1	2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1	2400 2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD %RE	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and a 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7	2400 2400 3.0 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and a 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11	2400 2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and a 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10 5-HIAA	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10 (ng/mL)	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11 NE (r	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11 ng/mL)	2400 2400 3.0 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and a 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6 12.0	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10 5-HIAA 36.0	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10 (ng/mL) 1200	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6 4.5 6	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11 6.7 11 NE (r 36.0	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11 g/mL) 1200	2400 2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11 1920
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD %RE n Mean	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and a 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6 12.0 13.3	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10 5-HIAA 36.0 37.9	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10 (ng/mL) 1200 1190	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10 1920 1830	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6 4.5 6 12.0 10.7	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11 NE (r 36.0 33.8	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11 -4.7 11 g/mL) 1200 1170	2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11 1920 1880
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and a 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6 12.0 13.3 6.4	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10 5-HIAA 36.0 37.9 8.5	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10 (ng/mL) 1200 1190 4.5	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10 1920 1830 5.4	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6 4.5 6 12.0 10.7 9.4	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11 6.7 11 NE (r 36.0 33.8 24.7	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11 ng/mL) 1200 1170 7.3	2400 2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11 1920 1880 6.1
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6 12.0 13.3 6.4 10.8	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10 5-HIAA 36.0 37.9 8.5 5.3	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10 (ng/mL) 1200 1190 4.5 -0.8	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10 1920 1830 5.4 -4.7	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6 4.5 6 12.0 10.7 9.4 -10.8	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11 NE (r 36.0 33.8 24.7 -6.1	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11 -4.7 11 ng/mL) 1200 1170 7.3 -2.5	2400 2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11 1920 1880 6.1 -2.1
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and <i>A</i> 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6 12.0 13.3 6.4 10.8 4	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10 5-HIAA 36.0 37.9 8.5 5.3 9	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10 (ng/mL) 1200 1190 4.5 -0.8 9	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10 1920 1830 5.4 -4.7 9	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6 4.5 6 12.0 10.7 9.4 -10.8 4	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11 NE (r 36.0 33.8 24.7 -6.1 9	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11 g/mL) 1200 1170 7.3 -2.5 9	2400 2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11 1920 1880 6.1 -2.1 9
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and a 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6 12.0 13.3 6.4 10.8 4	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10 5-HIAA 36.0 37.9 8.5 5.3 9 DOPAC	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10 (ng/mL) 1200 1190 4.5 -0.8 9 (ng/mL)	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10 1920 1830 5.4 -4.7 9	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6 4.5 6 12.0 10.7 9.4 -10.8 4	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11 NE (r 36.0 33.8 24.7 -6.1 9 HVA (2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11 -4.7 11 ng/mL) 1200 1170 7.3 -2.5 9 ng/mL)	2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11 1920 1880 6.1 -2.1 9
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and A 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6 12.0 13.3 6.4 10.8 4 12.0	24.0 23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10 5-HIAA 36.0 37.9 8.5 5.3 9 DOPAC 36.0	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10 (ng/mL) 1200 1190 4.5 -0.8 9 (ng/mL) 1200	240 236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10 1920 1830 5.4 -4.7 9	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6 4.5 6 12.0 10.7 9.4 -10.8 4 12.0	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11 NE (r 36.0 33.8 24.7 -6.1 9 HVA (36.0	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11 g/mL) 1200 1170 7.3 -2.5 9 ng/mL) 1200	2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11 1920 1880 6.1 -2.1 9 1920
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD %RE n %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6 12.0 13.3 6.4 10.8 4 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0	23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10 5-HIAA 36.0 37.9 8.5 5.3 9 DOPAC 36.0 38.3	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10 (ng/mL) 1200 1190 4.5 -0.8 9 (ng/mL) 1200 1190 4.5 -0.8	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10 1920 1830 5.4 -4.7 9 1920 1920 1920 1920	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6 4.5 6 12.0 10.7 9.4 -10.8 4 4 12.0 11.7	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11 NE (r 36.0 33.8 24.7 -6.1 9 HVA (36.0 36.0 36.0 36.0 36.0 36.0	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11 -4.7 11 ng/mL) 1200 1170 7.3 -2.5 9 ng/mL) 1200 1200	2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11 1920 1880 6.1 -2.1 9 1920 1880 1920 1880
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and A 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6 12.0 13.3 6.4 10.8 4 12.0 13.3 6.4 10.8 4 12.0 12.6 7.8	24.0 23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10 5-HIAA 36.0 37.9 8.5 5.3 9 DOPAC 36.0 38.3 11	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10 (ng/mL) 1200 1190 4.5 -0.8 9 (ng/mL) 1200 11200 1250 5.2	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10 1920 1830 5.4 -4.7 9 1920 1920 1920 1960 5.3	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6 4.5 6 12.0 10.7 9.4 -10.8 4 -10.8 4 12.0 11.7	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11 NE (r 36.0 33.8 24.7 -6.1 9 HVA (36.0 36.2 8.5	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11 g/mL) 1200 1170 7.3 -2.5 9 ng/mL) 1200 11200 1200 6.5	2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11 1920 1880 6.1 -2.1 9 1920 1880 6.8
NE (ng/mL) Mean %RSD %RE n Table 3. Preci Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD %RE n Mean %RSD %RE n	12.0 12.1 1.4 0.83 9 sion and 6.00 5.59 7.5 -6.8 6 12.0 13.3 6.4 10.8 4 12.0 13.3 6.4 10.8 4 12.0 12.6 7.8 5.0	24.0 23.9 3.7 -0.42 9 Accuracy DA (r 18.0 18.1 11.2 0.6 10 5-HIAA 36.0 37.9 8.5 5.3 9 DOPAC 36.0 38.3 11 6.4	120 121 3.4 0.83 9 of Quality g/mL) 600 574 6.6 -4.3 10 (ng/mL) 1200 1190 4.5 -0.8 9 (ng/mL) 1200 1190 4.5 -0.8 9 (ng/mL) 1200 1190 4.5 -0.8 9 (ng/mL) 1200 1250 5.2 4.2	236 3.8 -1.67 9 Controle 960 911 6.5 -5.1 10 1920 1830 5.4 -4.7 9 1920 1920 1960 5.3 2.1	600 605 1.8 0.83 9 Samples 6.00 6.27 8.5 4.5 6 12.0 10.7 9.4 -10.8 4 -10.8 4 12.0 11.7 9.4 -10.8 4	1200 1210 2.1 0.83 9 (Mouse S 5-HT (18.0 19.2 10.1 6.7 11 NE (r 36.0 33.8 24.7 -6.1 9 HVA (36.0 36.2 8.5 0.6	2160 2160 2.8 0.00 9 triatum As ng/mL) 600 572 6.1 -4.7 11 ng/mL) 1200 1170 7.3 -2.5 9 ng/mL) 1200 1170 7.3 -2.5 9 ng/mL) 1200 1170 7.3 -2.5 9 ng/mL) 1200 11200 6.5 0.0	2400 3.0 0.00 9 ssay) 960 907 6.2 -5.5 11 1920 1880 6.1 -2.1 9 1920 1880 6.1 -2.1 9

Tables 2–3. Validation results of mouse homogenate assay.

able 2. Calibration Standard Statistics (Mouse Striatum Assav

Figure 2. Chemical structures and metabolic pathways of (A) dopamine, (B) serotonin,

Figure 4. UPLC-MS/MS chromatograms of a mouse striatum homogenate (Top) in positive ion MRM mode and (Bottom) in negative ion MRM mode. Stable isotope-labeled internal standards were added to the sample.



Figure 8. Concentrations (ng/mg tissue) of neurotransmitters in mouse brain







Figure 9. Concentrations (ng/mg tissue) of neurotransmitters in mouse brain tissues collected from study animals.





Tables 4–5. Validation results of rat homogenate assay

Figure 6. Batch internal standard responses of stable isotope labeled compound NE-d6, DA-d4, 5-HT-d4, 5-HIAA-d5, DOPAC-d5, and HVA-d4. (•) calibrators, (\blacktriangle) quality control samples, and (\blacksquare) study samples.



Figure 10. UPLC-MS/MS chromatogram of mouse striatum homogenate Chromatographic column: Scherzo SS-C18 (150x3 mm, 3µm). Mobile phases: A: 0.5% formic acid, B: 0.5% formic acid and 50 mM ammonium formate in acetonitrile/water (50/50, v/v).



Results and Discussion

Bioanalytical method validation

High performance liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry detection (HPLC-MS/MS) has an extensive history as a quantitative tool for small molecules in biological matrices. The quantitative determination of endogenous (i.e., naturally occurring) compounds in biological samples of limited size (rat or mouse striatum tissues) is more complicated, both analytically and from method validation point of view. We have previously shown that UPLC-MS/MS provides sensitive and selective measurements of dopamine, serotonin and metabolites in the animal striatum tissues homogenates¹. To demonstrate the validity of a bioanalytical method, we have shown that charcoal treated (2X) animal (rat and mouse) brain homogenates were suitable control matrices for the study samples (striatum tissue homogentates)¹. Dopamine, serotonin and their metabolites are small polar molecules and the use of more polar pentaflurophenylpropyl (PFP) stationary phase provided adequate retentions without using ionpairing reagents. While monitoring phospholipids using an MRM transition m/z 184/184, we observed a phosphatidylcholine peak at an extremely high abundance in tissue homogenates samples, but not in calibration standards. Figure 3 shows that phosphatidylcholine derivatives can be completely eliminated using a Supelco HybrideSPE filter plate, which improves the PFP column performance and method robustness significantly. Additionally, Whatman filter plates were used for processing samples for UPLC-MS/MS analysis of DOPAC and HVA in negative ion MRM mode because of low recoveries and instability of DOPAC when using Supelco lipids plates. Meanwhile, for the analysis of acidic DOPAC and HVA, the best UPLC-MS/MS conditions were obtained on a Waters HSS T3 column (2.1x50 mm) using 0.1 % acetic acid in water and acetonitrile mobile phases. Note that Whatman filter plates which partially remove phosphatidylcholine derivatives were used for processing all mouse tissue homogenates due to limited sample volume.

With the suitable control matrices, the above UPLC-MS/MS method for endogenous neurotransmitters was validated following FDA Guidance on Bioanalytical Method Validation. Validation results are summarized in Table 2 through Table 5 for the 6 neurotransmitters in mouse and rat brain homogenates, respectively. Method precision and accuracy were acceptable based on the WIL Research SOP established criteria. Other validation parameters including matrix effects, recovery, and matrix and stock stabilities were also assessed during our method validation efforts.

Method application to study sample analysis

The LC-MS/MS method described above was used for the quantitative analysis of 6 neurotransmitters in animal striatum tissue samples collected from a large number of animal models of Parkinson's disease at various ages. Figure 4 shows representative MRM chromatograms of mouse striatum homogenate with endogenous levels of neurotransmitters. Figure 5 presents typical calibration standard curves in duplicates of the six neurotransmitters prepared in 0.1% formic acid. Figure 6 shows the internal standard (IS) responses of stable isotope labeled neurotransmitters. The IS responses were relatively consistent throughout analysis batch runs for calibrators (in 0.1% formic acid), QCs (in surrogate matrix), and study samples, indicating that matrix effects were insignificant and that reliable concentrations could be obtained with the method.

UPLC-MS/MS analysis results for all study samples collected so far in the on-going studies are shown in Figure 7 (mouse striatum tissues) and Figure 8 (rat striatum tissues). Overall, the endogenous concentration levels in study samples are within the calibration ranges of the assay for 5 neurotransmitters (DA, 5-HT, 5-HIAA, DOPAC, and HVA). The concentrations of NE were detectable, but below the lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) in most of the study samples. As dopamine metabolism is studied in a separate mouse model study, Figure 9 shows the analysis data of mouse brain homogenates from a mouse model study. In this separate study, the homogenates of mouse whole brain tissues were analyzed for DA and its metabolites (DOPAC and HVA). Unfortunately, large variations of neurotransmitter concentrations were observed among study samples even though the described assay demonstrated good precision and accuracy. The temporal and heterogenic nature of neurotransmitters in the animal brain locations and their chemical instability may play significant roles in assay variations. Therefore, it is very important to improve the precision and accuracy both in sample collection and sample processing steps when dealing with a large number of animal study samples.

Conclusions and future work

A simple, sensitive, specific, and robust UPLC-MS/MS method was validated with good linearity, precision and accuracy for the determination of endogenous dopamine, serotonin and their acidic and basic metabolites in animal striatum tissues. The method has been used successfully to assay samples from animal models of Parkinson's disease and from a separate animal model study. Recently, we have extended the work to include other classes of neurotransmitters such as amino acids, acetylcholine, g-aminobutyric acid (GABA), and monoamines (Figure 10) in animal brain tissues and in microdialysis fluids using UPLC-MS/MS with new generations of mixed-mode chromatographic stationary phases.

References

- 1. C. Quang et al., 59th Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics (2011), WP 670.
- 2. Ewa Balkowice-Iskra et al., Acta Neurobiol. Exp. 2007, 67:379-388
- 3. E. H. Stotz et al., Brain Research, 606 (1993) 267-272
- 4. Hitoshi Nohta et al., Analytical Sciences, August 1993, Vol. 9, 537-540.
- 5. Mark M. Kushnir et al., Clinical Chemistry 48(2), 2002 323-331
- 6. Paivi Uutela et al., Anal. Chem. 81 (2009) 8417-8425

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the excellent technical support provided by Alison Johnson, Robyn Eggleston, Ryan Scavinski, and other staff of WIL Research Laboratories, LLC.

www.wilresearch.com

We have listening down to a science.